Annual Report of the

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

1951



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Progress of Construction on Vessels Under Maritime Administration Contracts on June 30, 1951

Туре	Name	Operator	Builder	Date of contract	Keel laid	Percent complete	Estimated delivery
P3-S2-DL2	Independence	American Export Lines	Bethlehem Steel Co	Aug. 11, 1948	Mar. 29, 1949	100.0	Jan. 11.195
P3-S2-DL2	! Constitution	. do	Quincy, Mass	dodo	July 12, 1949	100.0	
P2-81-DN3	U. S. N. S. Barrett	Military Sea Transportation	New York Shipbuilding Corp., Camden,	Aug. 18, 1948	June 1, 1919	73.9	June 7, 195 Feb. 17, 195
P2-S1-DN3	U. S. N. S. Geiger	do	do	do	4		
P2-S1-DN3	U.S.N.S. Upshur	do	do	qo	Aug. 1, 1949	64.5	Apr. 15, 195
P6-84-D81	United States	United States Lines	Newport News Shipbuilding & Dry	do Apr. 7,1949	Sept. 30, 1949 Feb. 8, 1950	60. 8 68. 79	June 15, 195 May 29, 195
C4-S-1a	Old Dominion Marines		Dock Co., Newport News, Va.	1			
C4-S-1a	Ton Heal Mariner		qo	Feb. 7, 1951		3.0	May 8, 195
C4-8-1a	Tar neel Mariner	******************************	do	do		2.5	June 5, 195
	Vollanteer Stariner		1 40	1 4.			July 3, 195
C4-S-1a	i Faimello Mariner	ł	: do	1 4.	i e	, ,	Aug. 15, 195
C4-8-1a	i Cracker State Mariner		l do	1 40 1	1		Sept. 30, 195
C4-S-1a	Done Star Mander		Ingalis Shipbuilding Corp., Pascagoula,	do		4.8	Oct. 10, 195
C4-8-1a	Magnolia Mariner		Miss.	do		3.2	Dec. 12,195
C4-8-1a	I COLLON SINIE MINTINES		1 46	1 4.			Jan. 20.195
C4-8-1a	i Pencan State Mariner		i do	ایدا			
C4-8-1a							Mar. 16, 195
C4-S-1a	Free State Mariner		Bethlehem-Sparrows Point Shipyard,	do			May 20, 195
							Aug. 1,195
C4-8-1a	Mountain State Mariner		do				
C4-8-1a	Gonber State Mariner	***************************************	do	qo			Sept. 30, 195
C4-S-1a	Shor Me Meriner		do	00			Nov. 29, 195
C4-S-1a	Supflower Mariner		do	do			Dec. 31, 195
C4-S-1a	Old Colony Marines		do	do			Feb. 7, 195
C4-5-18							June 1, 1955
C4-S-18							Aug. 1, 1955
							Oct. 15, 195
C4-S-18							Dec. 29, 195
C4-S-la							Feb. 7, 195
C4-S-1a	Roystone Mariner		Sun Sulpounding & Dry Dock Co.,	do	June 15, 1951		Apr. 1, 1955
C4-S-1a	Buckeye Mariner		do '	ا ما ا			May 15, 195
C4-8-1a	i fioosief Mariner		40	ا ملم ا		·	May 10, 195
C4-8-1a							July 1, 195
C4-8-1a	Hawkeye Mariner		do	do			Aug. 15, 1955
C4-8-1a	Garden State Mariner	***************************************	do	June 25, 1951			Oct. 1, 1955 Oct 25, 1955
C4-8-1a.	Diamond State Mariner		do.	ا ہدا			
C4-8-1a	Empire State Mariner	***************************************	do	q0			Dec. 5, 1952
C4-S-1a	Prairie Stute Mariner		uv	qo			Feb. 25, 1953
C4-S-1a	Silver State Mariner		do	do			Mar. 25, 1953
C3-S-DX1	Schuyler Otic Bland	American President Lines	do		-25		May 25, 195
OU-D-DAILLE	Benuyier Otta Bianu	American Fresident Lines	Ingails Shipbuilding Corp., Pascagoula, Miss.	Oct. 7, 1949	May 29, 1950	97. 3	July 23, 1951

Annual Report of the

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

1952



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Shipbuilding

New Construction

At the beginning of fiscal year 1952, 35 ships were being constructed under Maritime Administration contracts. Three were for delivery to the Military Sea Transportation Service, having been selected by the Secretary of Defense for conversion during construction to dependent transports. One of the three, the USNS Barrett, was delivered on May 21, 1952, and the other two were scheduled for delivery late in calendar year 1952 and early in 1953.

The superliner SS United States was delivered June 20, 1952, for the trans-Atlantic service of the United States Lines Company. The highly successful trials indicated that this ship may readily be converted to the safest and fastest troop transport afloat. The SS Schuyler Otis Bland was delivered July 26, 1951, and entered the service of American President Lines, Ltd., under charter. This ship included a new type of Maritime Administration-designed cargo gear. Frequent reports indicated the superiority of this cargo gear, and it is proposed to incorporate it in other ships to be constructed.

The remaining 30 ships under contract were of the Mariner type authorized by special legislation. Five ships were being built by each of six yards. On August 1, 1951, a contract for five additional ships of this type was awarded to the Bethlehem-Pacific Coast Steel Corporation. Five of these 35 ships were originally scheduled for delivery during fiscal year 1952, but material delays prevented this. Some deliveries were expected during the first quarter of fiscal year 1953.

At the end of the fiscal year, 37 ships were being built under Maritime Administration contracts. Summaries of all ship construction may be found in appendixes C, D, E, and F.

Material control

Under the controlled materials plan of the National Production Authority, the Maritime Administration was the claimant for seagoing merchant ship construction. Material requirements to maintain scheduled shipyard construction were presented quarterly for approval to the Defense Production Administration, which then authorized the Maritime Administration to allocate materials to the building yards. Since the inception of the plan, an allocation of materials equal to requirements was received only for the fourth quarter of the fiscal year. The reduced allocations caused definite curtailment in production. Merchant shipbuilding enjoyed no special priority, and the shipyards were able to place only 85 percent of their allocations with steel producers. The loss of approximately 6 weeks of steel production because of strikes in the steel industry further delayed ship construction, approximately 4 months on some ships. Similar problems faced the industries manufacturing ship components

APPENDIX E

Progress of Construction on Ships Under Maritime Administration Contracts on June 30, 1952

Туре	Name	Operator	Builder	Date of contract	Keel laid	Percent	Estimated delivery
2-S1-DN3	U. S. N. S. Geiger	Military Sea Transportation	New York Shipbuilding Corp., Cam-	Aug. 18, 1948	Aug. 1, 1949	91. 62	Sept. 15, 195
		Service.	den, N. J.	do	Sept. 30, 1949	81.78	Jan. 15, 195
2-81-DN3		American President Lines	Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry	Feb. 7, 1951	Aug. 1, 1951	69.96	Sept. 15, 195
4-8-1a	Old Dominion Mariner	American President Lines	Dock Co., Newport News, Va.	100. 1,1001	1146. 1,1001	05.00	2022. 10, 100
4-8-1a	Tor Heel Muriner	Pacific For Fast Line Inc.	do	do	Aug. 27, 1951	56. 25	Oct. 15, 195
4-S-18	Walnutson Moriner	i	l do		Nov. 12, 1951	31.30	Dec. 12, 195
4-8-1a	Palmette Meriner		l do	do		17. 95	Mar. 27, 195
4-8-1a	Cracker State Mariner		1 00			12. 73	Sept. 11, 195
4-8-1a	Lone Ster Meriner			do	Aug. 2, 1951	73.1	Oct. 31, 195
1-0-18			Milan	i	l .		i
4-8-la	Magnolia Mariner		do	do	Sept. 12, 1951	53.3	Dec. 31, 195
4-8-1a	Outton State Manipus		1 40	l	Oct. 19, 1951	45.1	Feb. 27, 195
4-S-1a	Policen State Mariner		l do	l d0		21.9	Oct. 19, 19
4-S-1a	Peninsula Mariner		1 10	 .		19.3	Dec. 11, 198
4-8-1a	Free State Mariner	Farrell Lines, Inc	Bethlehem-Sparrows Point Shipyard,	do	Sept. 4, 1951	64.8	Oct. 31, 19
T-D-10	1100 Duale Manager		Inc., Sparrows Point, Md.			1	
4-S-1a	Mountain Mariner		Inc., Sparrows Point, Md.	do	Nov. 19, 1951	42.1	Dec. 31, 19
4-8-18	Gonher Mariner		do	1	June 5, 1952	24.4	Apr. 3, 19
4-8-1a	Chara Ma Marinan		1 40	l		14.9	July 15, 198
4-S-1a	Sunflower Meriner		l do	do		11.5	Oct. 30, 19
4-8-1a	Old Colony Mariner	I Tinitad States Lines Co	Rethlehem Steel Co., Ullincy, Mass	l QQ	July 2, 1951	84.0	Sept. 15, 19
4-S-la	Combination Manipas	i Soos Shipping Co. Inc.	1 46	do	Oct. 1, 1951	68.0	Nov. 7, 19
4-8-18					1760. 21, 1931	48.0	Dec. 29, 19
4-8-1a	Nutmer Mariner		l do	ao	Apr. 14, 1952	35.0	Mar. 3, 19
4-8-1a	Wolverine Mariner		40	uo		28.0	May 1, 19
4-8-1a	Keystone Mariner	Waterman Steamship Corp	Sun Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co.,		June 15, 1951	82. 0	Sept. 5, 19
4-S-1a	Buckeye Mariner	States Marine Corp	do	do	July 16, 1951	65. 3	Oct. 15, 19.
4-8-18	Handan Markan	l .	1 00	l	Aug. 15, 1951	52. 9	Nov. 20, 19 Jan. 20, 19
4-8-18						34. 5	Mar. 20, 19
4-8-18					OCt. 20, 1901	21.8 25.32	Apr. 1, 198
4-8-18						20. 32	Apr. 1, 190
	ĺ		den, N. J.		M 15 1050	20.47	May 15, 195
24-8-1a	Diamond Mariner		. do	do	May 15, 1952	14.41	June 15, 19
24-S-1a							July 31, 19
34-8-1a							Oct. 1, 19
24-8-la	Silver Mariner		do	Apr. 1 1061		6.37	Sept. 15, 19
24-S-1a	. Golden Mariner		Bethlehem-Pacific Coast Steel Corp.,	Aug. 1, 1951		0.37	Dept. 10, 186
			San Francisco, Calif.	do		4.91	Nov. 15. 19
4-S-1a	Evergreen Mariner			do		1.88	
4-8-18							June 1.19
24-S-1a	Sooner Mariner		do	do		1. 61	Nov. 1, 19
24-8-1a	. Grand Canyon Mariner		dodo	uu		. 1.01	1,10

Annual Report of the

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD AND MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

1953



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

preservation work was completed, because of the need to concentrate man-power on the more critical basic work.

Design installation studies were completed and a program for placing ships in east coast reserve fleets under cathodic protection was undertaken. By June 30, 1953, the 366 ships in the James River fleet were under full protection and the program at the Hudson River fleet was 8 percent complete and at Wilmington, 38 percent complete. It is estimated that all ships in the last 2 fleets will be under protection within a few months.

State Statement of Street and

Tanker services

of the end of the end to be one of a be-Tanker services included providing information to other agencies, participation in defense tanker construction planning, and administration of the voluntary tanker plan for industry cooperation. Under the voluntary tanker plan the tanker industry, participating nearly 100 percent, continued to meet all requirements of the Military Sea Transportation Service for tonnage needs beyond its own capacity, During the year 296 voyages were made, providing 214,515,000 deadweight ton-days of service. He had to live as the exist our ket

Don't range not consummable of the contribution of the consummable of

ing the built has an earn and a retreatment by larger than the second

New construction we see notion that the telephone to be become or the telephone to the tele

At the beginning of fiscal year 1953, 37 ships were being constructed under Maritime Administration contracts. Two were completed for the Military Sea Transportation Service as dependent transports, the U. S. N. S. Geiger which was delivered on September 13, 1952, and the U. S. N. S. Upskur, delivered on December 20, 1952. Final guarantee surveys were conducted on these ships and on the U.S. N.S. Barrett, Coursians previously delivered.

The remaining 35 ships under contract were of the Mariner type, authorized by special legislation. Five ships were being built by each of 7 shipyards. By the end of the fiscal year, 10 of these ships had undertaken successful trials and were accepted for delivery as follows:

Old Dominion Mariner Oc	4 D 1050	I Tone Sten Meximes	Dec 22 1052
Uld Dominion Mariner Uc	t. 6, 1952	Thouse post resumer	Dec. 22, 1002
Keystone Mariner Oc	t. 15, 1952	Cornhusker Mariner	Jan. 5, 1953
Old Colony Mariner Oc	t. 28, 1952	Buckeye Mariner	Feb. 26, 1953
Tar Heel Mariner No	v. 11. 1952	Mountain Mariner	Mar. 25, 1953
Free State Mariner De	c. 18, 1952	Pine Tree Mariner	Apr. 8, 1953

Twenty-one more Mariners are scheduled for delivery in fiscal year 1954; and the remaining four in 1955. The delivered Mariners had been operating under general agency agreement for several months and were reported to be excellent ships with high operational and cargo handling efficiencies. Under trial conditions these ships had a speed of almost 22 knots. Summaries of all ship construction may be

found in appendixes A, B, C, and D.

Section 505 (b) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended, states that profit limitation shall not apply to contracts or subcontracts for scientific equipment used for communication and navigation, nor to contracts under which the United States pays only for national defense features. No contracts or subcontracts were made in either category during fiscal year 1953.

Material control

Under the controlled materials plan of the Office of Defense Mobilization, the Maritime Administration continued acting as claimant for all seagoing merchant ship construction. After the setback of the steel strike of the preceding fiscal year, shipments of controlled materials were gradually increased throughout fiscal year 1953. However, since merchant shipbuilding did not have a priority position, it was impossible to meet fully the schedule of ship deliveries.

During the year there were processed 18 applications for accelerated tax amortization, as authorized in the Internal Revenue Code, covering the building or conversion of ocean-going ships. Of these, 11 were recommended to the Office of Defense Mobilization for approval and seven for denial. Two applications, amounting to \$47,500,000, for guaranteed loans under the Defense Production Act of 1950, were recommended for denial, which action was upheld by the Office of Defense Mobilization and the Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

In coordination with the Munitions Board, Office of Defense Mobilization, and other claimant agencies, planning was continued for merchant ship construction in the event of full mobilization. Additional requirements were developed for industrial facilities and critical major components to support such construction.

Conversions

Pursuant to contracts previously awarded to Gibbs & Cox, Inc., of New York, plans and specifications were being prepared for future conversion of the partially completed S. S. Monterey and the completed S. S. United States to troop transports. Similarly, in connection with the design contract for the Mariner ships, Bethlehem Steel Co., Shipbuilding Division, Quincy, Mass., was preparing plans and specifications for the future conversion of the Mariners to Navy (AKA) attack cargo ships and (APA) attack troop transports. The Maritime Administration was acting as the Navy Department's agent in these projects for Navy account.

Under the provisions of Public Law 856, Eighty-first Congress, three ships were sold to the Wisconsin-Michigan Steamship Co. for conversion. The conversion of one to a bulk carrier was completed. The second bulk carrier is scheduled for completion early in fiscal year

APPENDIX C

Progress of Construction on Ships Under Maritime Administration Contracts on June 30, 1953

Туре	Name	Builder	Builder Date of contract Keel laid		Percent complete	Estimated delivery
C4-8-18 C4-8-18 C4-8-18 C4-8-18 C4-8-18 C4-8-18	Crecker State Mariner Magnolia Mariner Cotton State Mariner Pelican State Mariner Peninsula Mariner Gopher Mariner Sunflower Mariner Sunflower Mariner Nutmeg Mariner Wolverine Mariner Hoosier Mariner Badger Mariner Hawkeye Mariner Garden Mariner Diamond Mariner Ciarden Mariner Empire State Mariner Prairle Mariner Golden Mariner Golden Mariner Golden Mariner Buyer Mariner Golden Mariner Byer Mariner Byer Mariner Byer Mariner Byer Mariner Byer Mariner Byer Mariner	Newport News Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co., Newport News, Va. do do do Ingalis Shipbuilding Corp., Pascagoula, Miss do do do do do Bethlehem-Sparrows Point Shipyard, Sparrews Point, Md. do OB Sun Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co., Chester, Pa. do OS Sun Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co., Chester, Pa. do do OB O	do	Bept. 12, 1951 Oct. 19, 1951 Jan. 5, 1953 Feb. 2, 1953 June 5, 1952 Jan. 20, 1963 Apr. 14, 1963 Apr. 14, 1963 Apr. 14, 1963 Apr. 16, 1951 Oct. 16, 1951 Oct. 25, 1951 May 16, 1952 May 16, 1952 May 16, 1953 Nov. 20, 1963 Nov. 20, 1963 Feb. 76, 1963	62. 2 91. 34 88. 96 73. 67 51. 26 43. 98 57. 18 44. 81 32. 58 27. 43	Aug. 14, 1963 Oct. 23, 1953 Mar. 19, 1954 Aug. 14, 1963 Sept. 11, 1963 Feb. 19, 1954 Apr. 16, 1954 Aug. 24, 1963 Nov. 3, 1963 Jan. 19, 1954 Aug. 21, 1953 Sept. 20, 1954 Sept. 20, 1955 Feb. 20, 1955 Sept. 30, 1955 Nov. 11, 1955 Dec. 15, 1955 Aug. 1, 1955 Peb. 15, 1955 Aug. 1, 1955 Sept. 1, 1955 Feb. 1, 1955

Annual Report of the

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD and MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

1954



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

be determined for major types of ships instead of individual vessels; and others which are discussed farther on.

Several major steps toward the solution of these problems have already been taken. Foremost is the inauguration of a ship construction program, largest in our peacetime history, the major share of which will be privately financed. The start of such a program will go a long way toward the preservation of shipbuilding resources and skills so important to national defense, providing employment for

nearly 36,000 shipyard workers.

This includes building tankers under a trade-in arrangement, building tankers for the Department of the Navy, and building several passenger-cargo ships under subsidy for private operators. Experiments will be undertaken to determine the feasibility of increasing the defense potential of hundreds of laid-up Liberty ships, by installing advanced propulsion machinery for increased speed and modifying their hulls for increased sea efficiency. In this phase American industry is giving its full cooperation. Improved cargo handling devices will also be installed on these ships in an effort to cut the time ships spend in port, which represents 60 percent of operating time and a potential saving to the industry of millions of dollars. Such a saving should in turn cut the Nation's operating subsidy bill. An emergency ship repair program will be undertaken, designed to repair and modernize more than 200 ships in the reserve fleets capable of serving as military auxiliaries.

In the Korean conflict construction began on 35 modern, fast, dry-cargo ships known as *Mariners*. This program is rapidly being completed. Of the five not yet delivered, one will be completed in August, three were sold and are being converted for private operation, and one is being converted for the Department of the Navy. The first steps have been taken toward the sale of more *Mariners* to private operators. Others have had to be laid up as defense needs declined.

New legislation was sought liberalizing Government insurance of mortgage loans, designed to encourage private lending institutions to furnish capital for ship construction. Such legislation will permit insurance of up to 90 percent of most ship's mortgages. The existing law did not provide the necessary stimulus to private lenders.

In the past few years lucrative cargoes in United States trade have drifted to foreign-flag lines. It was concluded that if American ships carried 50 percent of American cargoes, many of their troubles would be overcome. With this in mind Congressional support was given to permanent legislation to assure United States-flag privately operated merchant ships of at least 50 percent participation in Government cargoes.

The progress made in establishing specific operating-differential subsidy rates indicates that this work will be completely current by the end of calendar year 1955. This will clear up a situation in which the Government was up to 6 years behind in meeting its subsidy obligations to the shipping industry. Operating subsidy contracts were

Work on the S. S. Evergreen Mariner, at the Bethlehem-Pacific Coast Steel Corp. at San Francisco, was ordered suspended and a new contract was awarded for conversion to a Navy Cargo Ship, Attack (AKA). A sales contract was entered into with Pacific Far East Lines for the purchase of three Mariner ships at the Bethlehem-Pacific Coast Steel Corp. Extensive changes were ordered to suit these ships for the buyer's particular needs and consequently delivery was not expected before the last half of fiscal year 1955. The remaining ship of the 35 Mariners contracted for was scheduled for delivery in August 1954.

At the request of the Department of the Navy a contract was awarded to the Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp., Pascagoula, Miss., for construction for Navy account of two refrigerated stores ships.

The progress of construction on ships under Maritime Administration contracts as of June 30, 1954, is given in the following table:

Туре	Name	Builder	Keel laid	Estimated delivery
C4-8-1a	Silver Mariner	New York Shipbuilding Corp., Cam- den. N. J.	May 18,1953	Aug. 11,1954
C4-8-1b	Evergreen Mariner	Bethlehem-Pacific Coast Steel Corp.,	Feb. 16,1953	May 10,1955
C4-8-1f	Golden Bear	San Francisco, Calif.	Sept. 15, 1953	Dec. 23, 1954
O4-8-11	Sooner Mariner	do	Jan. 11,1954	Mar. 1,1955
C4-8-1f		do	July 6,1954	June 15, 1955
R3-8-4a	iner. Navy AF-58	Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp., Pasca-	Mar. 15,1954	June 3,1955
R3-8-4a	Navy AF-59	goula, Miss. do	June 7,1954	July 15, 1955

Summaries of all ship construction are in appendixes B, C, and D.

Conversions

Work under contracts previously awarded to Gibbs & Cox, Inc., for plans and specifications for future conversion of the partially completed S. S. Monterey and the completed S. S. United States to troop transports was near completion. Work on plans and specifications for future conversion of Mariners to Navy (AKA) Attack Cargo Ships and (APA) Attack Troop Transports by Bethlehem Steel Co., Shipbuilding Division, Quincy, Mass., was completed. The Maritime Administration acted as the Navy Department's agent in these projects for Navy account.

Under the provisions of Public Law 856, 81st Congress, three ships had been sold to the Wisconsin-Michigan Steamship Co. for conversion. The conversion of one of these was completed previously. The conversion of the second to a bulk carrier was completed during fiscal year 1954. The third ship was being converted to a passenger-package freight carrier.

Building proposals

Preliminary plans and specifications were received from Grace Line Inc., for construction of two ships to replace the overage ships Santa

The Maritime Administration continued to sponsor, in cooperation with the Department of Defense, two research and development studies with respect to cargo handling, one with the University of California at Los Angeles and the other under the National Research Council. Contacts were made with the Atomic Energy Commission with a view to eventual use of atomic energy for ship propulsion.

Section 505 (b) of the Merchant Marine Act 1936, as amended, states that profit limitation shall not apply to contracts or subcontracts for scientific equipment used for communication and navigation, nor to contracts under which the United States pays only for national defense features. No contracts or subcontracts were made

in either category during fiscal year 1954.

Inspections were conducted at manufacturers' plants on outfitting equipment for new ship construction, equipment for reserve fleet and training ships, and ship operations totaling \$1,400,000. Also 200,000 gallons of paint were inspected for new ship construction and reserve fleet ships.

Ship repair

The Cornhusker Mariner dragged anchor in a typhoon and grounded at Pusan, Korea, on July 7, 1953. On August 17, 1953, under deteriorating weather conditions the stern section broke and was cut free, was beached in the inner harbor, and later was towed to Japan and drydocked. A survey was made and estimates of cost were prepared both for reconstruction and for salvage and dismantling if not reconstructed. After considerable study it was determined to dismantle the stern section. All usable machinery and equipment were removed for shipment to the United States. The forward section, which remained fast on the rocks, was stripped of all salvageable parts and sold for scrap. A damage survey and specifications for repair of the S. S. San Mateo Victory, grounded in Korean waters, were prepared, assistance in salvage operations was given, and permanent repairs in Japan were supervised.

Estimates of cost and time for repairing 205 auxiliary military type ships in the reserve fleets were prepared for the emergency ship repair program. Testimony on the cost and desirability of the

program was submitted to the Congress.

Repair inspections totalling 2,685 were made on 276 ships under operating subsidy agreements to verify subsidized repairs. Full condition surveys were made on 155 of these ships to record their condition when changes of status under subsidy agreements were made. Subsidy repair summaries submitted by 16 subsidized operators were reviewed for eligibility for subsidy. Of the total of more than \$37 million, nearly \$10 million was determined to be ineligible for aid. Four hundred and thirty inspections were made to verify repairs on ships under general agency for Maritime Administration account and 188 surveys were made to determine proper maintenance.

Auditing

The principal normal audit workloads result from operating-differential subsidy agreements, bareboat-charter agreements, construction contracts, and general agency agreements. Prime construction contracts and related subcontracts were being audited on a current basis, as were all current general agency ship operations. Considerable progress was made in reducing the audit workload on bareboat-charter operations to 115 unaccomplished audits.

Audits in connection with operating-differential subsidy agreements were receiving first priority. Audits of subsidizable expenses recorded by subsidized operators progressed to the point where soon such audits should be completed within 9 months of the close of the calendar year under review. Reviews of subsidy financial accountings submitted by subsidized operators will receive major attention in the future. Progress in the audit of bareboat-charter agreements has freed capable members of the field staff to perform subsidy audits.

During the fiscal year, 230 audits were added and 328 were completed, thus reducing the workload to 230 at June 30, 1954. A total of \$1,400,000 was determined as additional recapture due the Government as a result of audits completed.

The amounts on deposit in reserve funds of subsidized operators at the beginning of the fiscal year totaled \$90,525,453 in the capital reserve fund and \$73,567,577 in the special reserve fund, and at the end of the year, \$108,468,952 and \$86,551,497, respectively. The amounts on deposit June 30, 1954, by operators were as shown in appendix H.

Insurance

All work was completed for implementation of the stand-by war risk insurance program authorized by title XII, Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended. The last phase was providing for war risk cargo insurance to be effective on the outbreak of war and on the condition that adequate coverage is not obtainable in the commercial market on reasonable terms and conditions.

During the fiscal year, 97 war risk hull binders, 96 war risk protection and indemnity binders, and 89 war risk second seamen's (crew life and personal effects) binders were issued. Binding fees totaling \$18,550 were received and \$5,088 was paid in fees and expenses to the underwriting agent.

The three Mariner ships under contract of sale to the Pacific Far East Line were covered by war risk builder's risk insurance. One of the ships was launched and commercial underwriters were offered and accepted coverage of the ship. A contract to furnish insurance against protection and indemnity risks covering ships allocated to National Shipping Authority general agents was awarded to the Continental Insurance Co. (Marine Office of America), New York. The low bid was \$1.95 per gross registered ton.

During fiscal year 1954, \$3,475,000 was recovered from underwriters under the recapture provisions of wartime agreements. On June 30 underwriters held \$5,106,856 in their reserves for unsettled claims.

Under its self-insurance program the Maritime Administration continued to assume marine and war risk hull insurance and second seamen's insurance on its Government-owned ships, as well as builder's risk insurance on the Mariner ships. Losses paid to date under the Mariner program were less than \$100,000. Had they not been self-insured, the total marine builder's risk insurance premium would have amounted to an estimated \$1,000,000. The Maritime Administration continued to underwrite casualty insurance required under a contract between the Department of the Army and a transportation contractor, which could not be obtained in the domestic market. The Department of the Army will reimburse the Maritime Administration for any losses incurred. On June 30, 1954, second seamen's insurance was in effect on 53 Department of the Navy contract-operated tankers.

In accordance with its insurance compliance responsibilities, the Maritime Administration approved original insurance or renewals thereof obtained in commercial markets by mortgagors, charterers, and subsidized operators, in the following amounts:

Kind of insurance	Amount	Percentage American	Percentage foreign
Marine hull	\$1, 247, 793, 702	39	61
Marine protection and in- demnity	1, 246, 047, 745 2, 406, 595, 349	41 3	59 97
War risk protection and in- demnity	1, 943, 186, 867	3	97

Claims

The number of unlitigated claims in favor of and against the Government, nearly all of which arose from the wartime activities of the former United States Maritime Commission and War Shipping Administration, was reduced during fiscal year 1954 from 1,396 claims (with a claimed value of \$18,680,862) to 1,118 claims (with a claimed value of \$19,094,531). The total claimed value increased because of new claims received. Claims settled in favor of the Government represented a recovery of 95 percent; claims against the Government, 20.5 percent. In addition, there were 1,100 claims with the Department of Justice for litigation on which \$348,938,335 had been asserted. Appendix I shows the claims on hand June 30, 1954, and claims settled under the Suits in Admiralty Act.

Legal Activities

Legislation

The Congress considered a further revision of title XI, Ship Mortgage Insurance, Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended, designed to

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD AND MARITIME ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Balance Sheet-June 30, 1954 and 1953

ASSETS	JUNI	: 20	LIABILITIES	June	30
	1954	1953	_	1954	1953
CASH: In U. S. Treasury		\$128, 848, 670 9, 085, 214 830, 715	Advances from other U. S. Government agencies. Amounts due shipbulders for construction of vessels.	\$125, 281, 557 42, 531, 575 25, 438, 266 13, 008, 568	\$107, 907, 516 34, 043, 118 37, 866, 075 21, 522, 805
Notes and Morroages Receivable (note 2): Domestic firms	211, 453, 871 109, 289, 888 3, 581, 132	231, 568, 406 124, 148, 165 3, 164, 614	Deposits by contractors, amounts related to the original summated transactions, and other unallocated collections. Amount withheld from purchaser of ship pending transactions of contraversial sale.	2, 485, 009	2, 916, 001 1, 679, 171
ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE (note 2):	324, 324, 891	358, 881, 185	Amounts withheld from employees for purchase of savings bonds and payment of taxes	514, 068 25, 158	616, 496 85, 340
Domestic firms and individuals	0, 102, 200	25, 021, 159 33, 279, 284 2, 247, 685		209, 284, 201	206, 636, 522
Foreign governments and nationals.	1, 980, 220 37, 183, 281	60, 548, 128	NET UNTERMINATED VOYAGE REVENUES (unterminated voyage revenues, less unterminated voyage expenses totaling \$2,422,117, 1954; \$4,869,209, 1953)	362, 769	5, 477, 623
AGENTS' ADVANCES TO BRANCH HOUSES, SUB-AGENTS, AND	426, 897	1, 748, 150	RESERVES:		40 505 000
CAPITAL STOCE IN AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES, LTD		65, 305	under the Merchant Ship Sales Act, 1940	10, 001, 710	12, 500, 000 1, 616, 764
Materials and Supplies (note 3)	45, 503, 316		For estimated expense of restoring vessels to the reserve		1, 198, 724
VESSELS OWNED (at cost or assigned amounts) (note 4)	4, 632, 427, 245	4, 453, 650, 102	fleet	12, 322, 152	15, 313, 486
VESSELS UNDER CONSTRUCTION	21, 641, 867	162, 291, 163	Equity of the United States Government (exhibit 3)		

MENT: (at cost, estimated cost, or assigned amounts) (note 4) (schedule 1)		004 000
Reserve shipyards	82, 719, 706	77, 994, 090 31, 990, 960
Morine terminals	32, 978, 337 31, 567, 245	
Maritima Service training facilities	15, 421, 745	
Reserve fleet sites	3, 751, 125	
Warehouses Administrative offices	1, 864, 477	2, 417, 878
Construction in progress.	168, 302, 065 6, 937, 394	
	175, 240, 029	174, 559. 701
OTHER ASSETS: S. S. Cornhusker Mariner (note 5)	9, 185, 000 564, 100	1, 064, 293
Deferred charges and other miscellaneous items	436, 953	
Advances to other U. S. Government agencies. Treasury deposits subject to refund or application to operations of future periods.		
-	10, 316, 20	3, 937, 780
:	5, 399, 976, 690	\$5, 405, 459, 9 50

\$5, 399, 976, 690 \$5, 405, 459, 950

The notes to financial statments are an integral part of this statement.

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD AND MARITIME ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Notes to Financial Statements-June 30, 1954 and 1953

1. The balance sheets and the statements of operations include transactions recorded in the accounts for certain steamship companies which operated vessels for the Administration under General Agency

agreements.

2. No provision for loss has been made in connection with any notes or accounts receivable which may z. No provision for loss has been made in connection with any notes or accounts receivable which may prove to be uncollectible. Accounts receivable from domestic firms and individuals and foreign nationals included \$6,415,609 at June 30, 1954, and \$6,974,935 at June 30, 1953, which had been referred to the Department of Justice for collection. Notes receivable from domestic firms included \$435,466 at June 30, 1954, and \$468,966 at June 30, 1953, which had been referred to the Department of Justice for collection. Notes and mortgages receivable at June 30, 1954, also included the following amounts with respect to mortgages which had been declared in default on or before that date:

	NO. OI vessels	balance	interest
DomesticForeign		\$13, 938, 082 1, 744, 413	\$604, 743 415, 720
Total	30	\$15, 682, 495	\$1,020,463

3. Inventories of materials and supplies are valued at stock catalog prices which represent cost or estimated set to the Administration. No consideration was given to the physical condition of the inventories in establishing these prices.

4. In accordance with generally accepted accounting practices of noncorporate Federal agencies the financial statements do not include an allowance for depreciation of vessels or other tangible fixed assets. With respect to sales of fixed assets this practice results in larger recorded losses, and with respect to other

establishing these prices.

4. In accordance with generally accepted accounting practices of noncorporate Federal agencies the financial statements do not include an allowance for depreciation of vessels or other tangible fixed assets. With respect to sales of fixed assets this practice results in larger recorded losses, and with respect to other durent year operations, the recorded net costs and expenses are less than would have been the case had depreciation been recognized in the accounts.

5. The Cornauster Mariner ran aground in Korean waters during a typhoon in July 1933 and while salvage and dismantling operations have been in progress the total loss from the disaster is not yet known.

6. The not operating different in progress to coal loss from the disaster is not yet known.

7. The Cornauster Mariner ran aground in Korean waters during a typhoon in July 1933 and while salvage and dismantling operating differential subsidies of 36,000.000 withheld for many many of the contracts of the contracts of the contracts of the provisions of losses during the later years of the contracts. The amount payable at June 30, 1944, includes operating-differential subsidies of 36,000.000 withheld from payment to an operator until final determination of construction-differential subsidies of 36,000.000 with the sales price of two vessels and \$3,000.000 for the calendar years, 1948, 1949, and 1950, under an agreement whereby such "holdbeat" would be added the provisions for estimated operating-differential subsidies of \$6,244,994 for the year experiments of \$108,886,860 for the year applicable to prior fiscal years.

8108,866,860 for the year applicable to prior fiscal years.

8108,866,860 for the year applicable to prior fiscal years.

8108,866,860 for the year applicable to prior fiscal years.

8108,866,860 for the year applicable to prior fiscal years.

8108,866,860 for the year applicable to prior fiscal years.

8108,866,860 for the year applicable to prior fiscal years.

8108,866,860 for the year applicable to prior

Annual Report of the

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD and MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

1955



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office Washington 25, D. C. - Price 30 cents (paper cover)

FISCAL YEAR ACTIVITIES

Introduction

The Federal Maritime Board and the Martime Administration have continued to direct their efforts to the accomplishment of their responsibilities under the maritime laws for the development and promotion of an American merchant marine sufficient to carry the domestic waterborne commerce and a substantial portion of the foreign commerce of the country, capable of serving as a naval auxiliary in time of war, owned by, and operated under the United States flag by citizens of the United States, and composed of the best-equipped, safest, and most suitable types of vessels manned by a trained and efficient citizen personnel. These efforts, during the past year, were notable in the administering of programs involving the (1) accelerated construction of new and replacement ships for the country's merchant fleet, (2) repair of reserve fleet vessels to provide ready availability in event of emergency, (3) development of new ship and propulsion designs for new construction and adaptation to existing ships in the active or reserve fleets, (4) promotion and assistance to the American merchant marine, and (5) improvement in management and efficiency of the agency.

In meeting the objectives of these programs, the Federal Maritime Board-Maritime Administration continued to foster the basic principles of (a) providing for the maximum in private ownership and operation of the merchant marine with full initiative in its own development of the shipping business and the solution of maritime problems; and (b) limiting to a minimum Federal participation and then only to the extent necessary to meet the country's economic and national security needs, within the policy of the President and the Congress; and (c) administering the country's maritime laws in an economical,

efficient, and effective manner.

With respect to the ship construction program, considerable headway has been made in the construction of new cargo ships and tankers and the conversion of existing ships to partially offset "block obsolescence" of the vessels of the merchant marine and to provide for continued employment and availability of shipyard personnel with shipconstruction "know-how" essential in time of emergency or war.

During the course of the year there were 13 ships under construction and five ships were being reconverted in various shipyards throughout the country, in which the agency was directly concerned, as follows:

1. The last 4 of a total of 35 modern, fast, dry-cargo, Mariner-type ships—3 of these vessels were delivered during the year. A total of 9 of these ships have been sold to private operators, conversion work having commenced on 4 of these prior to June 30, 1955.

2. Construction under contracts for the Department of the Navy included 2 refrigerated stores ships, and 3 of 4 tankers, and the conversion of 1 Mariner-type ship to a Navy cargo attack

3. Work commenced on the construction of 4 of 6 tankers contracted for with 3 major oil companies under the program for the trade-in of obsolete tankers for allowance of credit

against construction costs of new tankers.

In addition, a contract was awarded covering the construction of one roll-on/roll-off cargo ship for the Navy Department, and the conversion of one ship to a Great Lakes passenger-freight carrier, sold to a private operator under Public Law 836, 81st Congress, is progressing

under private contract with Title XI aid.

There were pending at the close of the fiscal year applications from three private operators for the construction of eight combination passenger-cargo vessels submitted under the replacement provisions of subsidy contracts and requesting construction-differential subsidy aid. An application also was pending from a private operator for the purchase and conversion of one Mariner to a one-class passenger ship for operation between east coast of the United States and north

European ports.

Public Law 781, 83d Congress, enacted during the fiscal year 1955, gave impetus to private financing with respect to new construction, with particular reference to substituting mortgage insurance aid for the mortgage aid provided for in sections 501, 502, and 509 of the 1936 act. Under the provisions of this law, a number of new applications were filed during fiscal year 1955. Four applications were received from companies desiring to build up to 37 tankers for charter to the Department of the Navy under the provisions of Public Law 575, 83d Congress, which authorized said Department to charter, under certain terms, up to 15 new tankers of prescribed principal characteristics. These applications were approved in principle by the Maritime Administrator during the fiscal year.

Allied with the ship construction program was the emergency ship repair program under which 90 Navy auxiliary-type ships were withdrawn from the reserve fleets for repairs, thereby effecting a material upgrading of the mobilization readiness of these ships and providing sorely needed work for the repair yards and their workers in the

three coastal areas.

In connection with the development of new ship and propulsion designs, considerable progress has been made and further research and studies are contemplated in the areas of ship structure, hydrodynamics, and cargo handling. Developmental design and experiments are in progress with respect to Liberty-type ships involving the (a) installation of new propulsion equipment, including steam, diesel, and gas turbines; (b) installation of modified cargo handling gear; and (c) modification of hull lines to increase speed.

In anticipation of the use of nuclear power in merchant ships, the Maritime Administration entered into a study agreement with the Atomic Energy Commission. Under this agreement, contracts were charters in effect as of June 30, 1954, under the authority of the Merchant Ship Sales Act of 1946, as amended. In addition, a review was made, supplemental to the annual review, of the charters of nine fully refrigerated ships operating transpacific for the purpose of carrying primarily military perishable cargo. It was found that

the continuance of such charters was justified.

One Mariner-type ship was chartered for Round-the-World service, and at the termination of the voyage was delivered to the charterer as purchaser. Of the three Mariner-type ships chartered for transpacific service in fiscal year 1954 until such time as three Mariner ships purchased by the charterer could be delivered from the construction yard and entered into service, two were in process of redelivery, since two of the purchased ships have entered service. The third will continue under charter until the last purchased Mariner is delivered from construction and enters service in fiscal year 1956. The charter of the two Good Neighbor ships remaining in operation was extended for a period of 1 year.

Ship custody

At the close of the 1955 fiscal year, there were 2,068 ships in the reserve fleets. During the year, 304 ships were taken into the fleets and 303 were withdrawn. The following is a tabulation of ships in the fleets at the close of fiscal year 1955:

Astoria, Oreg 204	Mobile, Ala 31	9
Beaumont, Tex 207	·	
Hudson River, N. Y 156	Suisun Bay, Calif 32	0
James River, Va 383	Wilmington, N. C. 32	9

The ship preservation program progressed steadily, within the limitations of available manpower, with 94 percent of basic layup and preservation of ships completed, and 35 percent of the year's workload of recurring preservation completed by the end of the fiscal year. A greater percentage of completion of recurring preservation work, with available manpower, was not possible because of diversion of preservation personnel to other work activities to meet emergencies.

By June 30, 1955, the program for protection of the underwater surfaces of hulls on ships in the reserve fleets had reached completion at Hudson River, James River, Wilmington, Suisun Bay, Astoria, and Olympia fleets. In those fleets protection is provided for 1,542 ships,

or three-quarters of the total number.

The disruption to the James River and Wilmington reserve fleets caused by a severe hurricane in October 1954 was substantially rectified by the end of the fiscal year. Work by the Corps of Engineers to strengthen the moorings at the Wilmington fleet was progressing satisfactorily, and completion is estimated to be about December 31, 1955.

An intensive firefighting training program was carried out for the purpose of strengthening the daytime fire brigade stationed at each fleet. Refresher training for the regular security force was effected as funds permitted. Emphasis on the safety program resulted in a reduction in frequency of accidents and consequent decrease in man-days lost.

Other activities

Arrangements were made with a meteorologist/oceanographer for a demonstration of transatlantic routing based upon long-range forecasting. This initial experiment utilized a Victory-type vessel and produced such promising results that trial over a full range of seasons appeared desirable. It is now contemplated that the demonstration will be completed during the winter of 1955–56, and approximately 16 separate transoceanic passages will be available for evaluation.

Under the terms of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, the Maritime Administration was designated to act for the International Cooperation Administration in procuring a maximum of eight privately owned, or selecting Government-owned C1-M-AV1 vessels for transfer to Korea. One privately owned vessel was purchased, acquisition of a second was pending, and reactivation of reserve fleet vessels, purchase of spare parts, and establishment of a basic training program for the Korean crews were in process.

Shipbuilding and Repair

At the beginning of the fiscal year, there were seven ships being constructed under Maritime Administration contracts. Of these, four were Mariner-class vessels, three of which were completed and accepted by the Administration by the end of the year. During the year, two of the three Mariner vessels sold to the Pacific Far East Line, Inc., were accepted for delivery (SS. Golden Bear and the SS. Korean Bear) and the third (SS. Japan Bear) was scheduled for delivery in September 1955.

Two refrigerated stores ships being constructed under Maritime Administration contracts for the Department of the Navy by the Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp. were scheduled for delivery in August and October 1955. Conversion of the ex-Evergreen Mariner to a Navy attack cargo ship (AKA) was scheduled for completion in November 1955. During fiscal year 1955, contracts were awarded for the construction of four oil tankers and one "roll-on/roll-off" cargo ship for the Department of the Navy.

The ships being constructed under Maritime Administration contracts as of June 30, 1955 are given below:

Туре	Name Builder		Keel laid	Estimated deliver y	
C4-S-1b	U. S. S. Tulare AKA-112.	Bethlehem-Pacific Coast Steel Corp., San Francisco, Calif.	Feb. 16, 1953	Nov. 17, 195	
C4-S-1f	Japan Bear	do	July 8, 1954	Sept. 8, 195	
R3-S-4a	U. S. S. Rigel AF-58	Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp., Pas- cagoula, Miss.	May 15, 1954	Aug. 31, 195	
R3-S-4a	U. S. S. Vega AF-59	do	June 7, 1954	Oct. 14, 195	
T5-S-12a	Navy AO-149	Sun Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co., Chester, Pa.	Mar. 8, 1955	Mar. 13, 195	
Tr. C 100	Navy AO-150	dodo	June 8, 1955	May 12, 195	
T5-S-12a		d0		July 11, 195	
T5-S-12a T5-S-12a	Navy AO-151 Navy AO-152	Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp., Pas-	Мау 16, 1955	July 9, 195	
C3-ST-14a	Navy T-AK-269	cagoula, Miss. Sun Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co., Chester, Pa.		Mar. 20, 195	

Summaries of all ship construction are in appendixes B, C, and D.

Conversions

Work under contracts awarded previously to Gibbs & Cox, Inc., for future conversion of the SS. *United States* and the partially completed SS. *Monterey* to troop transports was completed.

A contract for conversion of four Mariner-type vessels for the American President Lines was awarded to Bethlehem Steel Co., Shipbuilding Division, Baltimore, Md. Completion of the last ship is scheduled for January 1956.

Conversion of the SS. Good Neighbor (ex-SS. Marine Star) to a passenger-freight carrier on the Great Lakes was underway. This ship was sold under the provisions of Public Law 856, 81st Congress, to the Wisconsin & Michigan Steamship Co.

In order to meet the requirements of the Department of Defense for vessels with minimum speed of 15 knots for logistical support of military operations, an extensive study was made of what was needed to improve the speed and cargo-handling characteristics of the Liberty ships.

As a result of this study, the following actions to reengine four Liberty ships with propulsion units of 6,000 s. h. p. has been taken: (1) A contract was awarded to Ira S. Bushey & Sons, Inc., Brooklyn, N. Y., for conversion of one ship to steam turbine drive and effecting changes to the cargo gear to facilitate carrying military cargoes. Completion was scheduled for October 1955; (2) a contract was awarded to Bethlehem Steel Co., Baltimore, Md., for conversion of one ship by installation of two geared diesel engines, removal of present bow and installation of finer bow, and installation of experimental cargo cranes; (3) a contract was awarded to the General Electric Co., for manufacture of an open-cycle, gas-turbine propulsion unit. Award of the conversion including longer finer bow will be made early in fiscal year 1956; and (4) a contract was awarded to the General Motors Corp. for manufacture of a free piston generator-gas turbine propulsion unit. Award of the conversion including longer and finer bow will be made early in fiscal year 1956.

and the National Maritime Union. Details of eligibility, unemployment payments, and other provisions and regulations are to be determined by trustees of the pension and welfare plan.

Ship Sales and Transfers

Ship sales

During the year the vessels SS. President Cleveland and SS. President Wilson were sold to the American President Lines, Ltd., for the sums of \$6,346,263 and \$6,318,088, respectively, under the authority of Public Law 553, 83d Congress, approved July 29, 1954. Four Mariner-type vessels were also sold to American President Lines, Ltd., for operation after conversion in its Round-the-World.service under the authority of the Merchant Marine Act of 1936, as amended. The total sales price for the four vessels was \$17,686,687.

A sales contract was entered into with Pacific Far East Lines for the purchase of three Mariner-type vessels under the authority of the Merchant Marine Act of 1936 in connection with granting construction-differential subsidy aid, and to provide for extensive changes to suit the vessels for the buyer's particular needs prior to delivery of the vessels from the shipyard. The preliminary sales price on one of these vessels was \$5,750,000 and on the other two, \$5,700,000 each. During the year one overage ferryboat was sold for operation, resulting in a return of \$36,639 to the Government.

Transfers to foreign ownership and/or registry

Appendix G lists applications approved pursuant to Sections 9 and/or 37 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended, for transfer to foreign ownership and/or registry of ships owned by United States citizens. Of the total approved, 530 were ships of less than 1,000 gross tons, such as tugs, barges, fishing craft, and pleasure craft. The remaining 144 ships were of 1,000 gross tons and over. In connection with this latter group and pursuant to a condition of transfer, the Maritime Administration has approved the resale of 45 foreign-flag ships to other foreign nationals, subject to the same terms and conditions as those which governed the original transactions. Further, of the total United States-flag vessels of 1,000 gross tons and over approved for transfer to foreign ownership and registry, 11 were approved for transfer in consideration for the construction of new tonnage, or rebuilding of existing tonnage, in the United States.

During the year, 11 applications for approval to transfer United States privately owned ships of 1,000 gross tons and over were denied.

During the early part of fiscal year 1955, the Maritime Administration's policy was amended so as to permit, under certain conditions, the transfer of a reasonable number of Liberty-type vessels to Pana-

Insurance

Public Law 209, 84th Congress, approved August 3, 1955, extended the authority for the war risk insurance program to September 7, 1960. Twenty-three contracts have been executed with cargo underwriting agents for insurance to be effective upon the outbreak of war and on the condition that adequate coverage is not obtainable in the commercial market upon reasonable terms and conditions as determined by the Secretary of Commerce.

During the fiscal year, 97 war risk hull binders, 81 war risk protection and indemnity binders, and 76 war risk second seamen's binders were issued. As of June 30, 1955, binders outstanding are as follows: 882 war risk hull, 798 war risk protection and indemnity, and 733 war risk second seaman's. Net binding fees totaling \$156,600 had been received, and a total of \$44,425 had been paid in fees and

expenses since inception of the program.

Two of three Mariner-type vessels under construction in shipyards in the United States and under contract of sale to the Pacific Far East Line were covered by extensions of war risk builder's risk insurance. Premiums totaling \$79,281 have been received on the binders issued. Upon launching of the last vessel, the war risk insurance was covered by commercial underwriters.

A new contract to furnish insurance against protection and indemnity risks was awarded to the National Automobile & Casualty Company, of Los Angeles, Calif., covering ships operated by Maritime Administration general agents in the Military Sea Transportation

Service.

The Maritime Administration, under its self-insurance program, continued to assume hull and war risk insurance and second seamen's insurance on Government-owned vessels, and builder's risk insurance on the Mariner-type ships until completion. Total claims received amounted to \$180,911, of which \$65,376 was disapproved.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 1955, there was recovered from underwriters, under the recapture provisions of World War II wartime hull and protection and indemnity agreements, a total of \$1,550,000. The total recoveries to date amount to \$60,275,000, representing \$13,525,000 from hull underwriters and \$46,750,000 from protection and indemnity underwriters. The hull underwriters have disposed of the last case under their agreement and a final settlement of the reserve, subject to recapture, is expected at an early date.

The Maritime Administration has continued to underwrite excess legal liability insurance, provided under a contract between the Department of the Army and a transportation contractor, which cannot be obtained in the domestic market or elsewhere except at prohibitive rates. As of June 30, 1955, second seaman's insurance was still in effect on 37 Department of the Navy contract-operated tankers.

In accordance with its insurance compliance responsibilities, the Maritime Administration approved original insurance or renewals thereof (generally on an annual or quarterly basis) obtained in com-

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD AND MARITIME ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Balance Sheet-June 30, 1955 and 1954

		=			
ASSETS			LIABILITIES	June 30)
Abbuit	JUNE	30		1955	1954
-	1955	1954	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND OTHER LIABILITIES:	1000	
CASH: In U. S. Treasury In other depositories On hand and in transit.	\$264, 992, 969 2, 291, 150 854, 814	\$149, 733, 797 2, 825, 747 353, 418	Accrued estimated operating differential subsidies less estimated recapturable subsidies totaling \$108,870,566, 1955; \$101,763,492, 1954 (note 6)	\$132, 833, 379 125, 169, 017	\$125, 281, 557 42, 531, 575
	268, 138, 933	152, 912, 962	Amounts due shipbuilders for construction of vessels. Other accounts payable and accrued accounts	18, 785, 885 11, 092, 843	25, 438, 266 13, 008, 568
NOTES AND MORTGAGES RECEIVABLE (note 2): Domestic firms	193, 848, 550 92, 876, 861	211, 453, 871 109, 289, 888	Tanker trade-in allowances payable	3, 665, 678	
Domestic nrms Foreign governments and nationals Accrued interest	3, 444, 084	3, 581, 132	consummated transactions, and other unanocated	2, 322, 246	2, 485, 009
	290, 169, 495	324, 324, 891	Amounts withheld from employees for purchase of savings bonds and payment of taxes Miscellaneous deferred credits	498, 027 15, 739	514, 068 25, 158
ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE (note 2): Domestic firms and individuals	21, 273, 299	28, 438, 628 6, 764, 433	MAIOCON	294, 382, 814	209, 284, 201
U. S. Government agencies	3, 280, 487 1, 992, 064	1, 980, 220	NET UNTERMINATED VOYAGE REVENUES (-Ex- PENSES) (unterminated voyage revenues, less un-		
	26, 545, 850	37, 183, 281	terminated voyage expenses totaling \$1,967,904, 1955; \$2,422,117, 1954).	-281,895	362, 769
AGENTS' ADVANCES TO BRANCH HOUSES, SUB-AGENTS,	605, 308	426, 897 45, 503, 316	Description		
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES (note 3)	44, 169, 031		For estimated claims in connection with vessels	9, 043, 129	10, 891, 745
VESSELS OWNED (at cost or assigned amounts) (note 4).	4, 603, 428, 423	4, 632, 427, 245	For estimated liability under assumed insurable	1, 199, 538	1, 076, 076
VESSELS UNDER CONSTRUCTION. STRUCTURES, AND LAND AND SITE DEVELOPMENT, STRUCTURES, AND	38, 727, 967	21, 641, 867	For estimated expense of restoring vessels to the reserve fleet	306, 841	354, 331
EQUIPMENT (at cost, estimated cost, or assigned		82, 719, 706		10, 549, 508	12, 322, 152
Reserve shipyards	83, 465, 070 30, 240, 850	32, 978, 337			

Maritime Service training facilities	23, 686, 833 16, 311, 940 3, 718, 488 1, 560, 881	31, 567, 245 15, 421, 745 3, 751, 125 1, 864, 477	EQUITY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT (exhibit 3)	5, 135, 237, 965	5, 178, 007, 568
Construction in progress	158, 984, 062 6, 249, 335	168, 302, 635 6, 937, 394			
	165, 233, 397	175, 240, 029			
OTHER ASSETS: Advances to other U. S. Government agencies Deferred charges and other miscellaneous items	1, 533, 322 1, 231, 217	436, 953 564, 100			
Treasury deposits subject to refund or application to operations of future periods	105, 449	130, 149 9, 185, 000			
	2, 869, 988	10, 316, 202			
		\$5, 399, 976, 690	,	\$5, 439, 888, 392	\$5, 399, 976, 690

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD AND MARITIME ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Statement of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 1955 and 1954

Statement of Operations for the Tear 2.11		- Terre 20
URRENT YEAR OPERATIONS:	YEAR ENDE	1954
Onemating potistities:	1955	
Revenues and reimbursements: Operations of National Shipping Authority Chartering of vessels to others (exclusive of those included in opera-	42.7, ,	\$60, 166, 044
Chartering of vessels to others (exclusive of	4, 248, 474	3, 327, 853 888, 241
	12, 471	8, 182
Operation of marine terminals	1, 124, 529	224, 764
Maintenance of reserve meet vessessessessessessessessessessessessess	61, 035	33 414
Training of Maritime Service officers and seamen. Operation of warehouses. Maintenance of reserve shipyards.	43, 467 228, 438	33, 414 438, 211
Maintenance of reserve shippards Total revenues and reimbursements	23, 137, 862	65, 086, 709
	13, 328, 672	48, 903, 411
Operations of National Shipping Authors of these included in opera-		
Chartering of vessels to others (exclusive of those included	259, 647	565, 475
		209, 664
Operation of infilling certaining	6, 977, 314	5, 509, 423
	2, 876, 557	3, 975, 799
Training of Maritime Service officers and Seamen	585, 982	689, 883
Operation of warehouses	575, 274	560, 953
Training of Maritime Service officers and seamen. Operation of warehouses. Maintenance of reserve shipyards.	24, 603, 446	60, 414, 608
Total costs and expenses		11 000 022
Net costs and expenses (- income): Operations of National Shipping Authority (schedule 2) Operations of National Shipping (avelusive of those included in opera-	-4 , 090, 776	-11, 262, 633
Operations of National Surpring 1	0.000.007	-2,762,378
Chartering of vessels to others (exclusive of those included in options of National Shipping Authority) Operation of marine terminals Operation of marine terminals	-3, 988, 827	-678, 577
tions of National Shipping Leaders,	- 14, 411	5, 501, 241
Operation of marine terminals Maintenance of reserve fleet vessels Maintenance of reserve fleet vessels Operation of Marine Service officers and seamen.	5, 852, 785	3, 751, 035
Maintenance of reserve freet vocasional accompa	2,010,044	656, 469
Maintenance of reserve shipyards	340, 830	
Total net costs and expenses (-income)	1, 465, 584	-4, 072, 101
Direct subsidies and cost of national defense features:	130, 049, 900	96, 244, 954 4, 589, 428
Estimated operating-differential subsidies (note 6) Adjustment of estimated recapturable subsidies.	-7, 140, 926	4, 000, 520
Adjustment of estimated recapturable subsidios		100, 834, 382
	122, 500, 017	5 539 417
and an indicate and a second an	5, 700, 721	5, 538, 417 2, 809, 945
Construction-differential subsidies	1, 515, 96	2, 800, 510
Construction-differential subsidies. Cost of national defense features.	130, 125, 66	109, 182, 744
and manual alort and	1	
Excess of recorded cost of vessels sold over proceeds of sale, and vessels lost an	56, 965, 30	3 8, 449, 129
		2 7,349,520
abandoned	6, 417, 71	5
Cost of repairing reserve near vocastra		
Other income and adjustments (—income): Interest earned on notes and accounts receivable	10, 954, 34	6 - 12, 162, 87
Interest earned on notes and accounts receivable. Inventory and other property adjustments. Your and of meterials and supplies.	— 193, 2 0	Z -1, 2/0, 18
Loss on sale of materials and supplies. Loss on sale of fixed assets other than vessels. Net income from sale of capital stock of American President Lines, Lt	341, 33	-160, 60
Net income from sale of capital stock of Program	67, 44	14 — 39,00 37 598,00
Net income from sale of capital stock of American Fresident Mac. Net income from War Risk Insurance Program. Miscellaneous.	700, 00	
	-10, 330, 8	
Net cost of current year operations (note 4)	190, 888, 9	06 112, 423, 34
ADJUSTMENTS APPLICABLE TO PRIOR YEARS: Not charges arising from adjustments and settlements related principally Not the U.S. If registries.	6, 511, 1	83 8, 256, 8
World War II activities	-1,550,0	
Net charges arising from adjustments and settlements related principal World War II activities Participation in profits of World War II insurance syndicates.		
	1, 001, 0	
NET COST OF OPERATIONS (note 4)	\$195, 850, 0	\$117, 205, 2 ====================================
NET COST OF OPERATIONS (1905) Includes reconstruction-differential subsidy of \$342,058.		
a Includes reconstruction-dimerencial subsider of the seconstruction-dimerencial		

Includes reconstruction-differential subsidy of \$342,058.

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD AND MARITIME ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Notes to Financial Statements—June 30, 1955 and 1954

1. The balance sheets and the statements of operations include transactions recorded in the accounts of certain steamship companies which operated vessels for the Administration under General Agency agreements.

2. No provision for loss has been made in connection with any notes or accounts receivable which may prove to be uncollectible. Accounts receivable from domestic firms and individuals and foreign nationals included \$5,397,598 at June 30, 1955, and \$6,415,609 at June 30, 1954, which had been referred to the Department of Justice for collection. Notes receivable from domestic firms included \$435,466 at June 30, 1955, and 30, 1954, which had been referred to the Department of Justice for collection. Notes and mortgages receivable at June 30, 1955, also included the following amounts with respect to mortgages which had been declared in default on or before that date:

	of vessels	Mortgage balance	Accrued interest
DomesticForeign		\$10, 607, 450 1, 744, 413	\$562, 915 570, 725
Total		\$12, 351, 863	\$1, 133, 640

STUDY OF THE OPERATIONS OF THE MARITIME ADMINISTRATION AND THE FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD

HEARINGS

BEFORE THE

COMMITTEE ON MERCHANT MARINE AND FISHERIES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EIGHTY-FOURTH CONGRESS
FIRST SESSION

FEBRUARY 2, 3, 4, 15, 17, 23, 24, AND 25, 1955

Printed for the use of the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries



UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1955

STUDY OF THE OPERATIONS OF THE MARITIME ADMIN-ISTRATION AND THE FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1955

House of Representatives, COMMITTEE ON MERCHANT MARINE AND FISHERIES, Washington, D. C.

The committee met at 10:15 a.m., pursuant to recess, in room 219, Old House Office Building, Hon. Herbert C. Bonner (chairman) pre-

The CHAIRMAN. The committee will come to order, please. I do not expect there will be any objection to the committee proceeding, because this type of hearing would not ordinarily require a quorum. If the membership of the committee is not interested in the subject before the committee, I cannot bring them in. We will proceed with such membership as we do have. The clerk will call the roll, please, of the members.

(The roll was called by the clerk of the committee, with the following members answering present: Messrs. Bonner (chairman), Robeson, Sullivan, Thompson, Miller, Byrne, Bell, Davidson, Ashley, Tollefson, Sheehan, Van Pelt, Ray, Milliard, Dorn, Pelly, and Chase.)
The Chairman. We have again this morning the Maritime Admin-

istration.

Who represents the Maritime Administration this morning?

Mr. FORD. I do, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. Captain Ford?

Mr. Ford. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. Would you give your full title and name, please, for the record?

STATEMENT OF WALTER C. FORD, DEPUTY MARITIME ADMINIS-TRATOR (RESUMED), ACCOMPANIED BY THOMAS E. STAKEM, JR., ASSISTANT TO DEPUTY MARITIME ADMINISTRATOR; AND J. J. McMULLEN, CHIEF, OFFICE OF SHIP CONSTRUCTION

Mr. Ford. I am Capt. Walter C. Ford, Deputy Maritime Adminis-

The CHAIRMAN. This morning, Captain Ford, I wish you would introduce the members of your staff or Board who are present with you, so the committee will know them when they take part in the

Mr. Ford. Mr. McMullen, the Chief of the Office of Ship Construction; Mr. Stakem, the assistant to the Deputy Maritime Administrator; Mr. A. J. Williams, the Secretary of the Board; Mr. John Harrell, of the legal staff; Mr. Vito Russo, of the Office of Ship Construction; and Mr. Ludwig C. Hoffmann, of the Office of Ship Construction; struction, and Commander Charles Fears, Office of Ship Construction.

44 MARITIME ADMINISTRATION AND FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD

The next item on the agenda is the so-called Mariner story. I have prepared for the guidance of the committee a summary of the 35 Mariner ships which we had under construction and have built. These 35 ships were contracted for at 3 different times. The first 25 were contracted for on the 7th of February 1951. They were awarded as shown on your sheet to the Newport News Shipbuilding & Drydock Co. for 5, to Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp. for 5, Bethlehem, Sparrow's Point, for 5; Bethlehem Steel, Quincy yard, for 5; and the Sun Shipbuilding & Drydock Co., for 5.

(The information is as follows:)

Status mariner class vessels (C4-S-1a) as of Feb. 1, 1955

M. A. hull No.	Builder	Vessel	Delivery	Contract price	Operator during guaranty period	Status	Fleet	Present operator	Contemplated purchaser
1	Newport News Ship- building & Dry Dock Co., Newport News,	Old Domin- ion.	Oct. 8, 1952	\$7,775,000	American President Lines			Chartered to American President Lines.	Contemplated sale to American Presi- dent Lines.
3	Vadodo	Tar Heel 1 Volunteer 1	Nov. 28, 1952 Aug. 14, 1953	7, 775, 000 7, 775, 000	Pacific Far East Lines Matson Navigation Co	Layupdo			Contemplated sale to American Presi- dent Lines.
4 5 6	dodolngalls Shipbuilding Corp., Pascagoula,	Palmetto ! Cracker State ! Lone Star !		7, 775, 000 7, 775, 000 7, 898, 000	Pope & Talbot, Inc	do do	Olympia Beaumont Olympia		Do. Do.
7 8 9 10 11	Miss. dododododoBethlehem Sparrows Point Shipyard, Inc.,	Magnolia ¹ Cotton ¹ Pelican ¹ Peninsula ¹ Free State ¹	Feb. 19, 1954 Apr. 29, 1954	7, 898, 000 7, 896, 000 7, 898, 000 7, 898, 000 7, 938, 000	Mississippi Shipping Co Luckenbach Steamship Co Bloomfield Steamship Co Waterman Steamship Co Farrell Lines, Inc	. ao	do Beaumont	Chartered to Pacific Far East Lines.	Contemplated sale to Oceanic Steamship Co.
12 13 14 15 16	Baltimore, Md. do. do. do. do. do. vy Yard, Quincy,	Mountain 1 Gopher 1 Show Me 1 Sunflower 1 Gld Colony 1.	Sept. 9, 1953 Jan. 19, 1954 Apr. 13, 1954	7, 938, 000 7, 938, 000 7, 938, 000 7, 938, 000 8, 288, 000	Grace Lines, Inc	do do do	Jamesdo Beaumont	Chartered to Pacific Far East Lines.	Contemplated sale to Oceanic Steamship Co.
17 18 19 20 21	Massdododododododo.	Wolverine 1 Keystone 1	Apr. 3, 1953 Sept. 9, 1953 Oct. 30, 1953	8, 296, 000 8, 296, 000	Pacific Transport Lines Moore McCormack Lines American Export Lines	Layup	Hudson Olympia . Suisun	do	
22 23 24 26	do	Badger 1	July 29, 1953 Oct. 29, 1953	8, 399, 256 8, 399, 256	South Atlantic Steamship Co	do	Olympia. Hudson		

Footnotes at end of table.

Status mariner class vessels (C4-S-1a) as of Feb. 1, 1955—Continued

M. A. hull No.	Builder	Vessel	Delivery	Contract price	Operator during guaranty period	Status	Fleet	Present operator	Contemplated purchaser
26 27 28 29 30	New York Shipbuilding Corp., Camden, N. J. dodododododo	Garden ¹ Diamond ¹ Empire State ¹ Prairie ¹	Oct. 24, 1953 Dec. 22, 1953 Feb. 24, 1954 May 25, 1954 Aug. 11, 1954	9, 290, 000 9, 290, 000 9, 290, 000 9, 290, 000 9, 290, 000	American Hawaiian Steam- ship Co. Prudential Steamship Co United States Lines Co American Hawaiian Steam- ship Co. Moore McCormack Lines, Inc.	do	Hudson Olympia Mobile James		
31 32 33 34 35	Bethlehem-Pacific Coast Steel Corp., San Fran- cisco, Califdo	Evergreen 1 Golden Bear Korean Bear Japan Bear	Feb. 3, 1954 Nov. 1, 1955 Jan. 28, 1955 Apr. 1, 1955 Aug. 15, 1955	9, 493, 000 9, 493, 000	Pacific Atlantic Steamship Co. Navy AKA-112 Pacific Far East Linesdodo	Building Operating Building dodo	Suisun		To U. S. Navy U. S. S. Tulane. Sold to Pacific Far East Lines. Do. Do.

¹ Mariner. ² Estimated.

RECAPITULATION	
Leid up.	25
Chartered.	4
Operating	2
Building	3
Lost	T
Total	85
Sold	3
Transferred	
Contemplated sales	6
Total	10

On the sheet I have listed the hull numbers, the names of the builders, the names of the vessels, the date when the ships have been deliv-

ered, and the contract price.

The next column is the operators who chartered the ship and operated it during the guaranty period. Then there is the present status of the ship, and if it is in the reserve fleet where it is located, and any other special items are also listed.

The sixth contract for the Mariners was awarded on the 25th of June 1951, with the contract going to the New York Shipbuilding

Corp. in Camden.

The seventh contract was on August 1, 1951, and was given to Beth-

lehem Steel Corp., Pacific.

At the present time we have sold several of the Mariners. A summary of this sheet indicates that we have 25 in lay-up, there are 4 under charter, there are 2 operating, there are 3 still building, and 1 has been lost. We have sold three. We contemplate the sale of six more. One has been transferred to the Navy. The one transferred to the Navy is the one already mentioned as the AKA, the attack transport, being converted at Bethlehem Pacific. The contemplated sales include 4 to the American President Lines and 2 to the Oceanic Steamship Co. The American President Lines will modify these ships to a limited extent to suit their individual requirements in round-the-world trade. The 2 that are contemplated being sold to the Oceanic Steamship Co. will be converted to passenger ships having a total of 360 passengers.

The sales price of these ships has been set on an average basis, and for foreign operation the sales price for the 20-knot ship, new, is \$5,460,000, and for the 18-knot ship it has been set as \$4,774,000.

At the present time, the contemplated sale to PFEL, that is the Pacific Far Eastern Lines, for the 3 ships that they are converting,

has been tentatively set at \$5,750,000.

The daily depreciation as calculated on these ships for foreign trade is \$654. In other words, the foreign cost which I mentioned originally was new, that is, as they were completed, and each day there is a depreciation value of \$654.

The CHAIRMAN. What brings on the depreciating value? Is it

the increased age of the ship?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir. We depreciate it on a 20-year basis, Mr. Chairman.

The next item that I would like to present is the Maritime Adminis-

tration Liberty ship.

The CHARMAN. Under what authority do you arrive at the sales price of the Mariners?

Mr. McMullen. It is on the basis of the cost foreign, sir. In other words, under the authority of the Merchant Marine Act of 1000 Federal Maritime Board is charged with setting the tion cost of these ships. On the life these contractions of these contractions of the life the life

48

Mr. McMullen. The percentage, I believe—I will supply the exact one for the record, sir—was approximately 42 percent subsidy. In other words, foreign it would cost 58 percent of the cost in the United States.

(Note.—Actual average Mariner construction differential subsidy

is 42.6 percent.)

The CHAIRMAN. What authority did you have to equalize the price that you would sell Mariners at?

Mr. McMullen. Of course, the authority was granted to the Fed-

eral Maritime Board, sir.

Mr. Ford. I think the secretary to the Board can answer that.

The CHAIRMAN. All right.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I am Λ . J. Williams. I am secretary to the Federal Maritime Board. Mr. Chairman, the averaging of the price of the Mariners was predicated on a decision of the Comptroller General.

The Chairman. You understand what I am asking. Under what authority, what act, or what part of the 1936 act, or what law, gave you the authority to average out the price of these ships, fixing them

for sale?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Chairman, I am not certain that there is any provision in the act that would authorize the averaging of the price of 35 Mariners. There is a provision in the Merchant Marine Act of 1936, section 705, which provides that the price shall be fixed as of the date the contract is executed. In the case of the Mariners, 25 ships were contracted for on a particular date. Five were contracted for on another date, and five were contracted for on a third date. The Board had previously determined three separate prices, based on those dates. Later the matter was referred to the Comptroller General, and it was the Comptroller General's opinion that the prices of all of those ships should be averaged. On that basis, the Board averaged the price.

The CHAIRMAN. The elapsed time in the letting of these contracts,

the maximum time, was how much?
Mr. WILLIAM:. Sir, I can only give you a guess on that. Mr. McMullen. I have the exact dates, Mr. Williams. The CHAIRMAN. Tell us what they just approximately.

Mr. McMullen. The first one was February 7, 1951, and the last date was August 1, 1951.

The CHAIRMAN. All of them were in 1951?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.
The Chairman. The contracts for the full 35 were in 1951?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. How much had the foreign differential changed?

Mr. McMullen. Not very much, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. Then what makes the cost of these ships so vastly different?

Mr. McMullen. The cost that you have here is the United States price, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. That is what I am speaking of.

Mr. McMullen. The sales price is based on the foreign cost, sir.

The CHARMAN. These ships are let in groups?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. And each contract has as many as 3 to 5 ships?

Mr. McMullen. They all had five, yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. What made the cost to the Government, to the

Maritime Administration, to have such a difference?

Mr. McMullen. Sir, there were 35 ships bid out. Naturally, the one with the lowest bid was the Newport News Shipbuilding & Drydock Co. That was \$7,775,000.

The CHARMAN. How much was the next one?

Mr. McMullen. The next one was the Ingalls Shipbuilding Corp. at \$7.898.000.

The CHAIRMAN. What was the highest price?

Mr. McMullen. The highest price was the last one, which was given to the Bethlehem Steel Corp. in San Francisco, and that was

The CHAIRMAN. What made such a difference in the price?

Mr. McMullen. Two things, sir. The first thing is the United States cost went up very steeply during that period of 6 months, and, secondly, was the fact that they were allocated to the different yards around the country. In other words, Newport News could have built all 35 at the same price or a much cheaper price, but the intent at the time was to allocate the work throughout the United States.

The CHAIRMAN. United States costs advanced?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. And the foreign costs remained about the same?

Mr. McMullen. Well, they went up slightly, yes, sir. The Chairman. Then there would be a reduction in the foreign

differential on the last ships?

Mr. McMullen. On the last ships, the differential would be much higher, percentagewise. In other words, take the foreign costs at \$4,770,000, which is the sales price at 18 knots. You have to consider that remaining constant and the United States cost going up because of the two factors, the increased cost of building in the United States

and the allocation of the ships to the various yards.

I am sorry, Mr. Chairman. I see I have not answered your question. Let me explain it this way, sir. All of these ships were contracted for in the United States. The prices vary from a low of \$7,775,000 to a high of \$9,493,000. Let us assume that during that period of time the foreign shipbuilding costs remain the same, just for discussion purposes. Therefore, from a construction subsidy angle, although that was not considered at the time because these ships were for Government account, the percentage of the construction subsidy on the last ship would have been much higher than it was on the first ship. But the foreign cost of the last ship would have been exactly the same as the foreign cost of the first ship.

Mr. DAVIDSON. Mr. Chairman, if I may, I do not like to interrupt

so frequently, but I do have a question here.

I think from what the witness has said there is one thing that has become quite evident and that is that one of the factors in the increased tost of shipbuilding in the United States possibly might be this requirement under the law that no more than 4 ships can be built in lyard, or contracts for 4 ships can be let to any one yard.

Would you say that is so? Mr. McMullen. That is not in all the legislation, sir. That is in specific legislation. It was the case of the tankers, the MSTS tankers, restricting it to 3, and in the case of the Mariners it restricted it to 5. Another factor, sir, is that Newport News could not have delivered

Mr. Davidson. I appreciate that. But it is a factor that the more ships built in a yard the more the price would go down. Is that not so?

Mr. McMullen. Definitely, sir.

Mr. DAVIDSON. If you have Ingalls yard, and they produce 1 ship once in awhile, their cost for producing that ship would be \$9 million, whereas the Sun yard would be \$7 million, because it is a more active yard, their facilities are there, they buy things in larger quantities, and so forth, is that not right?

Mr. McMullen. Their overhead per ship goes down; yes, sir.

Mr. DAVIDSON. That is right. So it would be also for these foreign countries. England, which stands first in shipbuilding, and possibly in view of the United States policy of rehabilitation of these countries we have helped here to improve her facilities for shipbuilding, she stands first and she builds the most ships.

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

Mr. Davidson. One of the reasons that she builds the most ships is because her costs are the lowest.

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

Mr. Davidson. And one of the reasons that her costs are the lowest

is because she is building the most ships.

Mr. McMullen. Actually, at the present time, the trend in the United Kingdom is going up very rapidly.

Mr. Davidson. That is happening all over the world.

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

Mr. Davidson. But if we take the course in this country that will help foreign governments to build ships, they are going to be able to beat us as far as the costs are concerned, in geometric progression: are they not?

Mr. Robeson. Is not the factor you are not emphasizing in costs the difference in production per man-hour, when a ship is built with

fewer man-hours, it costs less?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

Mr. Robeson. That is basically involved in a lot of costs, too?

Mr. McMullen. Yes. Actually, you see, the labor rates on the Pacific coast are higher than they are on the east coast. So there is a differential of perhaps 10 percent in the labor rates between the east coast and the west coast. Since labor in the United States makes up approximately 45 percent of the total cost of the ship, that increases the cost of the ship by 5 or 6 percent.

Mr. Robeson. How do the man-hours used to build the ship com-

pare?

Mr. McMullen. That depends on the individual yard, sir.

Mr. Robeson. And it varies?

Mr. McMullen. That varies from yard to yard, considerably; yes, sir. For example, it is quite obvious that the man-hours involved between Newport News and the Sun Shipbuilding Co., all of whose contracts were let on the same day, varied almost directly as the contract price varied, sir, because you can assume the material would be available to everyone at aproximately the same cost.

The CHARMAN. Then it it is not correct to say that the differential in price, this \$1,418,000, is all due to advance in cost. It was a differ-

ence in the cost in location.

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. If what you had said was correct, do other things

reflect the same advance in cost in the same period?

Mr. McMullen. In the heavy industries, yes, sir, there would be a slight trend upward during that period. According to the material and metal products index there was an advance during that period. The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Williams was going to explain something.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I was going to elaborate a little more, Mr. Chairman. I think we are a little bit confused on the method of pricing these ships. The pricing of the Mariner ships is predicated upon the foreign costs solely. It has nothing to do with the American cost at all. The only way that the American cost gets into the picture is in arriving at the percentage differential, as Mr. McMullen explained early in the meeting here. So between February and August, there was a rise in the foreign-cost figures that we had. That accounted for the increase between February and August.

The price of the ship is based upon the foreign cost; not upon the

American cost.

The CHAIRMAN. But you have averaged the price of these vessels?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. This was the first instance that you ever had con-

cerning the price of vessels?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Yes, sir, except in the Ship Sales Act. In the Ship Sales Act, of course, the Congress specifically authorized the fixing of an average price for certain types of ships. But in the Merchant Marine Act there is no authority that I know of for averaging the

price of ships built under title 7.

The CHARMAN. Then did the Ship Sales Act set the precedent? Mr. WILLIAMS. No, sir, I do not think so. I think the Comptroller General's decision was predicated on what he considered to be good sense and good judgment. Whether that is so or not, I would not state. But he felt as long as you were selling these ships, they ought to be sold at the same price to everybody.

The CHAIRMAN. But existing law would have required you to have sold them on individual costs?

Mr. WILLIAMS. As of the three particular dates, yes, sir, 25 ships at one price, 5 ships at another price and 5 ships at another price.

The CHAIRMAN. That would have been the manner and the way

mider which you would have sold in accordance with the law.

Mr. WILLIAMS. That is right. That is the way the Board's decision written. You are probably aware, Mr. Chairman, that we have yelose liaison with the Comptroller General's office now. When decision was ready for publication, it was sent to the Comptroller Pharal's office for his perusal and agreement, if he did agree.

COLLEGON. As far as the Government is concerned, it would get was many dollars whether they averaged them or priced them

WILLIAMS. If you sell 35 of them; yes, sir.

ROBESON. Would you mind telling me what you mean by cost?

you get that cost? What is that cost?

LIAMS. We heretofore have gotten our foreign figures from Department representatives abroad. Captain McMullen ison a new procedure now.

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. If what you had said was correct, do other things

reflect the same advance in cost in the same period?

Mr. McMullen. In the heavy industries, yes, sir, there would be a slight trend upward during that period. According to the material and metal products index there was an advance during that period. The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Williams was going to explain something.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I was going to elaborate a little more, Mr. Chairman. I think we are a little bit confused on the method of pricing these ships. The pricing of the Mariner ships is predicated upon the foreign costs solely. It has nothing to do with the American cost at all. The only way that the American cost gets into the picture is in arriving at the percentage differential, as Mr. McMullen explained early in the meeting here. So between February and August, there was a rise in the foreign-cost figures that we had. That accounted for the increase between February and August.

The price of the ship is based upon the foreign cost; not upon the

American cost.

The CHAIRMAN. But you have averaged the price of these vessels?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. This was the first instance that you ever had con-

cerning the price of vessels?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Yes, sir, except in the Ship Sales Act. In the Ship Sales Act, of course, the Congress specifically authorized the fixing of an average price for certain types of ships. But in the Merchant Marine Act there is no authority that I know of for averaging the price of ships built under title 7.

The CHAIRMAN. Then did the Ship Sales Act set the precedent? Mr. WILLIAMS. No, sir, I do not think so. I think the Comptroller General's decision was predicated on what he considered to be good sense and good judgment. Whether that is so or not, I would not state. But he felt as long as you were selling these ships, they ought to be sold at the same price to everybody.

The CHAIRMAN. But existing law would have required you to have

sold them on individual costs?

Mr. Williams. As of the three particular dates, yes, sir, 25 ships at one price, 5 ships at another price and 5 ships at another price.

The CHAIRMAN. That would have been the manner and the way

under which you would have sold in accordance with the law.

Mr. WILLIAMS. That is right. That is the way the Board's decision was written. You are probably aware, Mr. Chairman, that we have a very close liaison with the Comptroller General's office now. When that decision was ready for publication, it was sent to the Comptroller General's office for his perusal and agreement, if he did agree.
The Chairman. Mr. Tollefson?

Mr. Tollerson. As far as the Government is concerned, it would get exactly as many dollars whether they averaged them or priced them separately?

Mr. WILLIAMS. If you sell 35 of them; yes, sir.

Mr. Robeson. Would you mind telling me what you mean by cost?

Where do you get that cost? What is that cost?

Mr. WILLIAMS. We heretofore have gotten our foreign figures from the State Department representatives abroad. Captain McMullen is working on a new procedure now.

Mr. Robeson. What was that cost that you base the sale of Mariners on? What was that cost? Is it an average foreign cost or the cost of a certain country with the lowest cost of the country or the average cost in the country?

Mr. WILLIAMS. It was the low cost in a particular shipbuilding

center.

Mr. Robeson. In a particular country?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Yes, sir.

Mr. Robeson. Was that the lowest cost that was foreign?

Mr. WILLIAMS. That was considered to be the lowest cost that was foreign; yes, sir.

Mr. Robeson. That was the lowest foreign cost?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Yes, sir.

Mr. Robeson. Would it be a secret to state what country that was? Mr. McMullen. No, sir. That was the United Kingdom at the time, sir. Sir, the low-cost center has various factors involved. First, it is the ability of the country to build the ship. Secondly, there is a factor of delivery time. Third is the political stability of that country. And all of these are in conjunction with low cost.

Mr. Robeson. Then you mean this was a theoretical cost, the country not having built a similar ship but you assumed they would build

a ship, and if they did build the ship it would be this cost?

Mr. McMullen. That is right, sir. It is a reasonable cost estimate

in that country of a ship to these plans and specifications.

Mr. Robeson. So the fact of the matter is that we are not placing these prices on an actual cost but on somebody's estimated cost?

Mr. McMullen. That is right.

Mr. Robeson. And that depends on the ability of the estimator to estimate correctly?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

Mr. Robeson. So we have another variable factor?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir. That is the way the Merchant Marine Act of 1936 is set up. It states in there a reasonable cost estimate in the low-cost shipbuilding center.

Mr. Robeson. I am not trying to find fault, but we want to know

exactly what is done so we know exactly where we are.

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir.

Mr. Robeson. Thank you.

Mr. Byrne. Mr. McMullen, I am from a shipyard area near Philadelphia, with the various shipyards and the Philadelphia Navy Yard. You made a statement that the Navy had farmed some work out to private industry. Could you tell me why, when the Philadelphia Navy Yard is having these layoffs, with skilled help being thrown on the street? Do they not have the facilities to do some of this work?

Mr. McMullen. Yes, sir, they do have the facilities. I do not believe I mentioned that earlier, sir, but actually what it is is the Navy Department is attempting to stabilize the manpower figure, which is employed in the naval shipyards throughout the United States. At the present time that might be, say, 100,000 men.

Mr. Byrne. We are down now to 9,000 men.

Mr. McMullen. That is just in those yards which can build oceangoing ships in excess of 400 feet in length.

Mr. BYRNE. The Philadelphia Navy Yard could take care of that.

